

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,553	12/23/2003	Teiichi Ichikawa	008312-0307350 5058	
909 7590 10/31/2007 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			EXAMINER	
			HALEY, JOSEPH R	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,553	ICHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph Haley	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply	<u> </u>				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .				
· <u> </u>	, 				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 9,10,13,14 and 21 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) _ is/are allowed.	• •				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10,13,14 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 		eived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eived			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		nary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,553

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the predetermined command" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Saeki et al. (US 6285823).

In regard to claim 21, the applicant's admitted prior art teaches a video and a data recording area included within a data area, wherein the video and the data recording area includes a program chain command table information having a description area, the description area storing a pre-command, a post-command, a cell command (see paragraph 8); but does not teach a resume command.

Saeki et al. teaches a resume command (column 28 lines 16-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,553

Art Unit: 2627

The two are analogous art because they both deal with the same field of invention of DVD-Video.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of the applicant's admitted prior art with the resume command of Saeki et al. The rationale is as follows: At the time of invention it would have been obvious to provide the apparatus of the applicant's admitted prior art with the resume command of Saeki et al. because it would allow the apparatus to return to the original scene.

In regard to claim 13, the applicant's admitted prior art teaches wherein the information recording medium is an optical disk (paragraph 6).

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art and Saeki et al. in view of Hasegawa et al. (US 6282320).

In regard to claim 9, the applicant's admitted prior art and Saeki et al. teach all the elements of claim 9 except wherein the command is a command which is executed when a timer is made valid and a predetermined time is elapsed.

Hasegawa et al. teaches a command which is executed when a timer is made valid and a predetermined time is elapsed (fig. 22 S803-S805).

The three are analogous art because they all deal with the same field of invention of video on an optical disc.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of the applicant's admitted prior art and Saeki et al. with

Art Unit: 2627

the timer of Hasegawa et al. The rationale is as follows: At the time of invention it would have been obvious to provide the apparatus of the applicant's admitted prior art and Saeki et al. with the timer of Hasegawa et al. because it would allow for commands to take place without any action from the user.

In regard to claim 10, Hasegawa et al. teaches wherein the reproducing control information defines other reproducing control information which is linked when the timer is made valid and the predetermined time is elapsed (fig. 22 S803-S805. The apparatus of Hasegawa et al. displays a new picture once the time has passed).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Haley whose telephone number is 571-272-0574. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jrh

/William Korzuch/ SPE, Art Unit 2627